





# Hislopia

# **A National Journal of**

- $\star$ **Science and Technology**
- $\star$ **Arts and Humanities**
- $\star$ **Commerce and Management**
- Human development  $\star$

Published by Hislop College, Civil Lines, Nagpur- 440 001 (MS) India

## ON SCALING FACTOR OF LENNARD.JONES AND EXPONENTIAL-6 POTENTIAL FUNCTIONS

#### S. W. ANWANE

### Department of Physics, Shri Shivaji Education Society Amravati's Science College, Congress Nagar, Nagpur- 44OOl2

(e-mail: swanwane2000@yahoo.com)

- Abstract: .Lennard.lones and Buckingham have proposed potential function for famous Van der Waal equation in 1924 and 1934 respectively. A modified simple representation with appropriate scaling factor has been proposed in the literature. Approach for writing equations and obtaining scaling factor is irrespective but the scaling is the unique.
- Key words: Van der waal equation, Linnard JOnes potential, Buckinghams potential, exponential function etc.

#### Introduction

Typical Van-der-Waal (Vdw) potential energy functions are attributed to LENNARD-JONES (LJ)(1924):

$$
U_{LJ} = \frac{A_{LJ}}{r^m} - \frac{B_{LJ}}{r^n} = D\left[\frac{n}{m-n}\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^m - \frac{m}{m-n}\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^n\right]
$$
 1

And BUCKINGHAM (1938)

$$
U_B = Ae^{-Br} - \frac{c}{r^n}
$$
 2  
In most of the practices, these potentials are utilized as 12-6 function(RIGBY)

& ROE (1987, 1988, 1989):

$$
U_{12-6} = D\left[\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{12} - \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{6}\right]
$$
3

And exponential-6 function (RIGBY & ROE, 1990); KARASAWA et al., (1991)

$$
U_{X6} = Ae^{-Br} - \frac{c}{r^6}
$$
 4

respectively. The choice of 12-6 depends on the circumstances and simplicity to compute

$$
U_{X6} = D \left[ \frac{6}{\xi - 6} e^{\xi \left( 1 - \frac{r}{R} \right)} - \frac{\xi}{\xi - 6} \left( \frac{R}{r} \right)^6 \right]
$$
 5

LIM (2001) has proposed following equation in which scaling factor  $\xi = 12.0$ gives same long range attraction as LJ (12-6) form, and  $\xi = 13.772$  gives equal curvatures at the minimum well depth  $(r=R)$ . The scaling factor  $\xi$ =13.772 sacrifices the accuracy beyond the minimum well depth (r=R). This has been attributed to the vdw interactions occurring beyond the vicinity of the minimum well-depth. He has proposed alternate scaling that attain overall good agreement between two vdW potential energy functions.

#### Analysis

LIM (2007) developed following approach in which, inter-atomic potential functions have relied on imposition of equal curvatures at minimum well-depth as;

$$
\left(\frac{\partial^p v_z}{\partial r^p}\right)_{r=R} = \left(\frac{\partial^p v_z}{\partial r^p}\right)_{r=R}; (p = -1,0,1,2)
$$

-1, 0, 1, 2 corresponds to integration (anti-derivative),  $(U_1 = U_2)$ , differentiation of  $1<sup>st</sup>$  and  $2<sup>nd</sup>$  order respectively. That yields a set of equations;

$$
\int_{R}^{\infty} U_{X6} dr = \frac{A}{B} e^{-BR} - \frac{C}{5R^{5}}, \int_{R}^{\infty} U_{12-6} dr = -\frac{17}{55} DR \qquad \qquad
$$
7a

$$
U_{X6}|_{r=R} = \frac{A}{B}e^{-BR} - \frac{C}{R^6}, U_{12-6}|_{r=R} = -D
$$

$$
\left. \frac{\partial v_{X\epsilon}}{\partial r} \right|_{r=R} = -ABe^{-BR} - \frac{6C}{R^7}, \left. \frac{\partial v_{2z-\epsilon}}{\partial r} \right|_{r=R} = 0
$$

$$
\left. \frac{\partial^2 U_{X6}}{\partial r^2} \right|_{r=R} = -AB^2 e^{-BR} + \frac{42C}{R^2}, \left. \frac{\partial^2 U_{42-6}}{\partial r^2} \right|_{r=R} = -\frac{72D}{R^2}
$$

Lim used first three equations from above set, however, in present approach last three equations are used to obtain constants. Manual computations and computations using Maple 14 agree to yield same results as;  $A = 0.722$  De<sup>-13.722</sup>,  $B = 13.772/R$ ,  $C = 0.722$  DR<sup>6</sup> The factor 13.772 re-ocurs as in the calculation of LIM(2007) hence the scaling proposed by LIM may be confirmed.

#### Conclusion

The scaling factor of 13.772 for exponential-6 mimic LJ (12-6) function for short range. Consideration of integral form or double differentiation hardly matters the scaling provided it exists.

#### Acknowledgement

The author gratefully acknowledge University Grants Commission, New Delhi for financial assistance under Minor Research Project No.F.47- 1233 / 09(wRO).

LENNARD-JONES, J E (1924) On the determination of molecular fields-II, From the equation of state of a gas. Proceedings of Royal Society of LondonA 106: 463-469.

BUCKINGHAM, R. A. (1928) The Classical Equation of state of gaseous helium, neon and argon, Proceedings of Royal Society of London A 168:264-268.

RIGBY, D. & ROE, R.J. (1987) Moleculardynamics simulation of polymer liquid and gas, I Glass transition, J. Chem..Phys. .87: 7285-7290

RIGBY, D. & ROE, R.J. (1988) Molecular dynamics simulation of polymer liquid and gas, II Short range order and orientation correlation, J. Chem. .Phgs..B9: 5280-5286.

RIGBY, D. & ROE, R.J. (1989) Molecular dynamics simulation of polymer liquid and gas, 3 Chain conformation, Micromolecules, 22: 2259-2263.

RIGBY, D. & ROE, R.J. (1990) Molecular dynamics simulation of polymer liquid and gas, 4 Free volume distrubution, Macromolecules,23: 5312- 5316.

KARASAWA,N. DASGUPTA, s. GODDARD, W.A. (1991) Mechanical properties and force field parameters for polyethylene crystal, J.Phys. Chem.95: 2260-2267

LIM, T.C. (2001) The relationship between Lennard-Jones (12-6) and Morese potential functions, Z. Naturforsch, A 58: 615-621

LIM, T.C,(2007) Alternative scaling factor between Lennard-Jones and Exponential-6 potential energy functions, Molecular Simulation, 33: 1029-1032

HISLOPIA JOURNAL

## **CONTENTS**



Published by Hislop College, Civil Lines NAGPUR-440 010. INDIA. Type Set by: Ameya Computer Centre, Ravinagar Sq. Amravati Road, Nagpur - 440 001 (India)