EFFECT OF BRAIN EXTRACT ON THE DNA, RNA AND PROTEIN CONCENTRATION OF TROPICAL TASAR SILKWORM, ANTHERAEA MYLITTA (D) (LEPIDOPTERA: SATURNIDAE)

S.V.GHONMODE

S.S.E.S.A's Science College, Congress Nagar, Nagpur, India (M.S.)

Corresponding Author: Sharyu ghonmode@rediffmail.com

ABSTRACT: Total DNA, RNA and protein concentration in the middle and posterior regions of the silkgland (MSG and PSG, respectively) of Antheraea mylitta showed gradual rise in the 6- to 18-day old larvae. The maximum level of DNA, RNA and protein concentration was noticed in the 18-day old larvae. Thereafter, a steep reduction in DNA, RNA and protein concentration in both MSG and PSG was found during the spinning period. Topical application of the brain extracts of the 10-day old tasar silkworm, Antheraea mylitta affects the enhancement in DNA, RNA and protein concentration initially suggesting induction of the stimulatory effect, which is slashing down in the prolonged period.

INTRODUCTION:

In comparison to Bombyx mori and some temperate silkworms (Jolly et al., 1979); Prudhomme et al., 1985; Sehnal and Akai, 1990), very little information is available on the physiology of the silkgland in the tropical tasar silkworm, Antheraea mylitta. In A.mylitta, the development, structure and histological changes in the anterior, middle and posterior regions of the silkgland during the growth, secretory and regression phases (Barsagade and Tembhare, 2000) and sericotropic function of the cerebral medial neurosecretory cells (Tembhare and Barsagade, 2000) have been investigated. Similarly, the ultrastructural changes in the middle and the posterior regions of the silkgland occurring during various phases were studied thoroughly (Ghonmode, 2001).

The present work was undertaken as an extention to our earlier studies on A.mylitta in order to explore the effect of brain extract of tasar silkworm, A.mylitta on the development of the silkgland.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

The larvae of A. mylitta were reared on the plants of Terminalia tomentosa. The silkglands were dissected from the 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21 and 24-day old 5° instar larvae in ice-cold Ringer's saline. The middle and posterior regions of the silkgland (MSG and PSG) were separated, tracheae and fat body were removed and MSG and PSG were homogenized separately at 0° C for 5 min in different volumes of ice-cold distilled water, Ringer's solution and 0.25M sucrose solution.

Total concentration of DNA, RNA and protein was estimated by Burton's Diphenylamine (Searcy and Machnnis, 1970a), Dische-Orcinol (Searcy and Machnnis,1970b) and Lowry et al., (1951), methods respectively.

10 brains of silkworm, A.mylitta were homogenized in 1ml (10 brains/ml) of distilled water and centrifuged. The 2µl of supernatant was then injected intraperitoneally. 20µl of distilled water was injected intraperitoneally which serves as control animals.

After 3-, 6-, 12- and 24 hours, the silkglands were gently dissected and the total DNA, RNA and protein concentrations were estimated in MSG and PSG.

All experiments were conducted in the laboratory at constant 12L: 12D photoperiod, 25-27°C temperature and 85% RH (Relative humidity) and treated and control larvae were fed on the freshly collected leaves of *T. tomentosa* and cages were cleaned after every 6 hr to avoid infection.

RESULTS:

Effect of brain extract on DNA, RNA and protein concentration in MSG and PSG

The morphological, histological, histochemical and biochemical studies on the silkgland complex in

T. tomentosa revealed that the silkgland passes through four phases:


Growth phase from newly emerged to 6-day,


ii) Secretory phase from 6- to 18-day.

iii) Regression phase from 18- to 21-day and

 Degeneration phase from 21- to 24-day old last instar larvae (Ghonmode, 2001).

At the initiation of secretory phase i.e., in the 6-day old larvae, MSG contained $2.74\pm0.03\mu g/mg$ DNA, $0.53\pm0.01\mu g/mg$ RNA and $2.00\pm0.02\mu g/mg$ protein and PSG contained $4.00\pm0.07\mu g/mg$ DNA, $0.54\pm0.01\mu g/mg$ RNA and $10.00\pm0.01\mu g/mg$ protein. DNA, RNA and protein concentration increased gradually both in MSG and PSG during the secretory phase and finally MSG contained about $5.00\pm0.04\mu g/mg$ DNA, $0.93\pm0.01\mu g/mg$ RNA and $19.5\pm0.03\mu g/mg$ protein and PSG contained $6.00\pm0.01\mu g/mg$ DNA, 1.00 ± 0.02 $\mu g/mg$ RNA and $33.1\pm0.03\mu g/mg$ protein in the 18-day old larvae representing the maximum level of secretory activity. Rapid depletion in the concentration of DNA, RNA and protein during the regression phase (spinning period) and almost inhibition of DNA and RNA synthesis during the degeneration phase was well evident (Figs. 1-3).








Fig. 3. Total protein concentration in MSG and PSG

Effect of brain extract on DNA, RNA and protein concentration in MSG and PSG

The intraperitoneal application of brain extract caused significant rise in the DNA concentration at 3 hrs in MSG and PSG i.e, 0.0025±0.08μg/mg and 0.0035±0.034μg/mg in treated insects in comparison to 0.0015±0.08μg/mg and0.002 ±0.034μg/mg in the control insects. The DNA concentration diminishes further in 6, 12, and 24 hours (Fig. 4).





Fig. 4. Effect of brain extract on DNA concentration in MSG and PSG

Significant rise is also seen in the RNA concentration at 3 hrs in MSG and PSG, about 0.0025±0.09μg/mg and 0.0012±0.125μg/mg in treated assects in comparison to 0.0018±0.09μg/mg and 0.0010 ±0.125μg/mg in the control insects. The RNA concentration aboves a gradual decrease in 6, 12, and 24 hours (Fig. 5).



Effect of brain extract on RNA concentration in MSG and PSG

The total protein concentration was found to be best at 3-hrs in MSG and PSG, about 0.0265±0.032μg/mg at 0.0285±0.102μg/mg in treated insects in comparison to 1425=0.032μg/mg and 0.0281 ±0.102μg/mg in the sectol insects. The protein concentration falls down advailly in 6, 12, and 24 hours (Fig. 6).




Fig. 6. Effect of brain extract on PROTEIN concentration in MSG and PSG

DISCUSSION:

The present study revealed continous increase in the concentration in both the MSG and PSG during the secretory phase commencing from 6-dat to 18-day old larvae representing maximum secretory activity in both the regions of the silkgland in *A. mylitta* similar to that in *B.mori*, although, the secretory phase of the silkgland in *A. mylitta* is longer than that in *B. mori*, (Suzuki, 1977). During the secretory phase, sericin and fibroin proteins are constantly secreted in the MSG and PSG, respectively and thereafter used for spinning of the cocoon (Prudhomme *et al.*, 1985; Sehnal and Akai, 1990).

Kodrik and Sehnal, (1990) noticed sericotropic factor in the brain extracts which is stimulating RNA and protein synthesis in the silkgland of *Galleria mellonella*. They thereafter isolated a sericotropic peptide of a molecular weight between 5-10 KD (kodrik and Schnal, 1991, 1994; Kodrik

et al., 1995). Tembhare and Barsagade, (2000) noticed in A.mylitta that the cauterization of medial neurosecretory cells (MNC) of the brain cause rapid depletion while implantation of the brain in the MNC cauterized larvae elevate total protein concentration in the middle and posterior regions of the silkgland significantly suggesting sericotropic activity of the MNC. During the present study, the effect of brain extract on the total concentration of DNA, RNA and protein in MSG and PSG in A.mylitta showed that at the initial stage i.e, 3-hrs after the treatment, enhancement in all the three silkgland contents occurs significantly suggesting stimulatory effect.

In the brain extract treated larvae the total concentration of DNA, RNA and protein in MSG and PSG falls down than that in the controls suggesting that the brain extract induces stimulatory effect. It is the temporary one which is slashing down in the prolonged period. The higher concentration of DNA, RNA and protein therefore seems to be maintained for a longer period if the JH (Juvenile hormone) is supplemented earlier.

In summary, the brain extract affects the enhancement in DNA, RNA and protein concentration initially suggesting induction of the stimulatory effect, which is slashing down in the prolonged period.

REFRENCES:

Akai, H. (1965): Studies on the ultrastructure of the silkgland in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*, L. Bull. Sericult. Exp. Stn., 19: 375-384.

Akai, H. (1976): Ultra structural morphology of Insects. University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, (In Japanese).

Barsagade, D. D. and Tembhare, D. B. (2000): Development, structure and secretory activity of the silkgland complex in the tropical tasar silkworm, *Antheraea mylitta* Drury (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae). Sericologia, 40:231-245.

Jolly, M. S., Sen, S. K., Sonwalkar, T. N. and Prasad, G. K. (1979): Nonmulberry silks. FAO. Agric. Servis Bull., 29: 1-178.

Kodrik, D. and Sehnal, F. (1991): Neurohormonal stimulation of posterior silkgland in *Galleria mellonella*. (Akai and Keuchi eds.). Wild Silkmoths 43050: 89-90.

Kodrik, D. and Sehnal, F. (1994): Purification of neuropeptide sericotropin from the brains of *Galleria mellonella*. In Borkovec, A.B. and Neurochemistry and neurophysiology. CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp.307-310.

Kodrik, D., Filippova, V.A. and Sehnal, F. (1995): Sericotropin: An insect heurohormonal factor affecting RNA transcription, Netherland J.Zool. 45:68-70.

Lowry, O. H., Rosembrough, N. J., Farr, A. L., and Randall, R. J. (1951): Protein measurement with the Folin Phenol reagent. J. Biol. Chem., 193:265-275.

Prudhomme, J. C., Couble, P., Garel, J. P. and Daillie, J., (1985): Silksynthesis. In Comprehensive Physiology, Biochemistry and Pharmacology. (eds. Kerkut, G.A. and Gilbert, L.I.), pp.572-591, Vol.10., Pergamon Press, oxford.searcy, Searcy, D. G. and MacInnis, A. J. (1970a): Biochemical estimation of DNA by Burton's Diphenylamine method. Biochem. J., 62:315-323.

Searcy, D. G. and MacInnis, A. J. (1970b): Biochemical estimation of RNA by Dische-Orcinol method. Biochem. J., 62:315-323.

Sehnal, F. and Akai, H. (1990): Insect silkglands: their types, development and function and effects of environmental factors and morphogenetic hormones on them. Int. J. Insect Morphol. Embryol., 19:79-132.

Schnal, F. and Michalik, J. (1984): Control of activity and regression of the silkgland in the last larval instar of *Gaileria mellonella*. J. Insect Physiol., 30:119-126.

Suzuki, Y. (1977): Differentiation of the silkgland, a model system for the study of differential gene action. In results and problems in cell Differentiation. Edited by Beermenn, W.B. 8:1-14. Springer- Verlag, Berlin.

Tembhare, D. B. and Barsagade, D. D. (2000): Cephalic neuroendocrine system in the tropical tasar silkworm, *Antheraea mylitta* (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae). Metamorphic and sericotropic functions. Int. J. Wild Silkmoth & Silk, 5: 21-29.